ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

Date Analyzed: 08/11/09

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH USING EPA METHOD 9040C

Sample ID
Laboratory ID

pH

CB331707 908066-01 6.91

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

Date Analyzed: 08/11/09

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Results Reported as NTU

Sample ID	Date Sa <u>mpled</u>	Time <u>Sampled</u>	<u>Turbidity</u>
Laboratory III			
CB331707 908066-01	08/11/09	10:00	14.4
Method Blank			< 0.5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

CB331707

Date Received:

08/11/09 08/11/09

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

Matrix: Units: 08/11/09 Water

water ug/L (ppb) Client:

Landau Associates

Project:

Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066 908066-01

908066-01.054 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator:

btb

Internal Standard:

Germanium Holmium % Recovery: 104

113

Lower Limit: 60 60 Upper Limit: 125 125

Concentration

ug/L (ppb)

Copper Zinc Lead

Analyte:

210 1,250 4.40

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:

Method Blank

Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

NA 08/11/09 08/11/09

Matrix: Units: 08/11/09 Water ug/L (ppb)

Landau Associates

Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

Project: Lab ID: Data File:

Client:

I9-332 mb I9-332 mb.046

Instrument: Operator: ICPMS1 btb

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 109 110

ug/L (ppb)

Lower Limit: 60 60 Upper Limit: 125 125

Holmium 110

Concentration

Analyte:

Copper Zinc Lead <1 <1 <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR pH BY METHOD 9040C

Laboratory Code: 908068-02 (Duplicate)
Sample Duplicate Relative Percent Acceptance
Analyte Result Result Difference Criteria

pH 6.83 6.86 0 0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TURBIDITY USING METHOD SM2130B

Laboratory Code: 908061-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative	
Amalerta	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria
Analyte	Omes	2000000			6.55
Turbidity	NTU	1.4	1.4	0	0-20

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/12/09 Date Received: 08/11/09

Project: Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 908055-01 (Duplicate)

				Relative		
4 - 4-4-	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Differenc	Acceptance e Criteria	
Analyte		7.28	7.65	5	0-20	•
Copper Zinc	ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb)	16.3	14.8	10	0-20	
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	2.39	2.35	2	0-20	

Laboratory Code: 908055-01 (Matrix Spike)

	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Reault	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria	
Analyte Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20 50	7.28 16.3	97 b 99 b	50-150 50-150	<u> </u>
Zinc Lead	ug/L (ppb) ug/L (ppb)	10	2.39	101 b	50-150	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent		
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance	
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	70-130	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	126	70-130	
Lead	ug/L (ppb)	10	104	70-130	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dy Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- is The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.



AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES 3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103 FAX: (206) 632-2417 PHONE: (206) 632-2715

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FBT004-86

PAGE 1

REPORT DATE:

08/12/09 08/11/09

DATE RECEIVED:

08/11/09

DATE SAMPLED: FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 908066

CASE NARRATIVE

One water sample was received by the laboratory in good condition. Analysis was performed according to the chain of custody received with the sample. No difficulties were encountered in the preparation or analysis of this sample. Sample data follows while QA/QC data is contained on the following page.

SAMPLE DATA

SAMPLE DATA			
	FOG	HARDNESS	TSS
SAMPLE ID	(mg/l)	(mgCaCO3/I)	(mg/l)
CB331707	2.29	52.8	10



AQUATIC RESEARCH INCORPORATED

LABORATORY & CONSULTING SERVICES 3927 AURORA AVENUE NORTH, SEATTLE, WA 98103 PHONE: (206) 632-2715 FAX: (206) 632-2417

CASE FILE NUMBER:

FBI004-86

PAGE 2

REPORT DATE:

08/12/09

08/11/09

DATE RECEIVED:

08/11/09

DATE SAMPLED: FINAL REPORT, LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PARAMETERS ON WATER

SAMPLES FROM FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC. / PROJECT NO. 908066

QA/QC DATA

QC PARAMETER	FOG	HARDNESS	TSS
20 1.3	(mg/1)	(mgCaCO3/I)	(mg/l)
METHOD	EPA 1664	EPA 130.2	SM20 2540D
DATE ANALYZED	08/12/09	08/12/09	08/11/09
DETECTION LIMIT	2-00	2.00	0.50
DUPLICATE			
SAMPLE ID		BATCH	BATCH
ORIGINAL		21.9	56
DUPLICATE	1	22.9	60
RPD	NA '	4.37%	6,90%
SPIKE SAMPLE			
SAMPLE ID		BATCH	1, 194
ORIGINAL		21.9	
SPIKED SAMPLE		41.6	
SPIKE ADDED		20.0	
% RECOVERY	NΛ	98.70%	NA
		January N. January	
QC CHECK			
FOUND	8.50	38.3	9.2
TRUE	8.06	40.0	10
% RECOVERY	105.46%	95.77%	92.00%
70 AUDOCO 4 DANA		<u> </u>	
BLANK	<2.00	<2.00	<0.50

RPD = RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE

NA = NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE.

NC = NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO ONE OR MORE VALUES BEING BELOW THE DETECTION LIMIT.

OR = RECOVERY NOT CALCULABLE DUE TO SPIKE SAMPLE OUT OF RANGE OR SPIKE TO LOW RELATIVE TOO SAMPLE CONCENTRATION.

SUBMITTED BY:

Steven Lazoff Laboratory Director

AKC-0021602

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 12, 2009

Joe Kalmar, Project Manager Landau Associates 130 2nd Ave. S. Edmonds, WA 98020

Dear Mr. Kalmar:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 11, 2009 from the Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066 project. There are 9 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures

c: Gerry Thompson

NAA0812R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on August 11, 2009 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Landau Associates Alaskan Copper Works, F&BI 908066 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID 908066-01 Landau Associates

CB331707

The sample was sent to Aquatic Research for hardness, oil and grease, and TSS analyses. Review of the enclosed report indicates that all quality assurance was acceptable.

All quality control requirements were acceptable.